UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,428	12/14/2001	Scott Swix	01042 (BLL-0578)	6351
³⁶¹⁹² AT&T Legal D	7590 12/09/200 epartment	EXAMINER		
Attn: Patent Docketing Room 2A-207 One AT&T Way Bedminster, NJ 07921			SHEPARD, JUSTIN E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2424	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Pate Reexamination	ent under
10/017,428	SWIX ET AL.	Г
	Art Unit	
CHRISTOPHER KELLEY	2424	
		_

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 18 June 2008.
 Improper Request – The R reason(s): 	equest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	se continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from nmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	At Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been the rappeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ited in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal of from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period cice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date ble.
☐ The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from core	ed the status of the claim(s) is as follows: sideration:
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ition on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	onference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>CHRISTOPHER KELLEY</u> .	(3) Justin Shepard.
(2) <u>Chris Grant</u> .	(4)
/Chris Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2424	/Christopher Grant/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2423